## Math 2340 - Winter Quarter 2015

## Exam \#1 Study Guide

## PreLiminary Material

In the first few lectures, we covered some basic definitions and classifications of differential equations. Here are a few of the classifications:

## Definition: Order of an ODE

The order of a differential equation is determined by the order of the highest derivative of the equation.
For example,

$$
\frac{d^{4} y}{d x^{4}}+3 \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}} \cdot \frac{d^{3} y}{d x^{3}}=\cos (x)
$$

is a forth-order ODE because the highest derivative is a forth order derivative.

Definition: Linear vs. Nonlinear ODE

A differential equation is linear if the dependent variable, as well as all of it's derivatives, are linear. For example, a linear ODE can be written as

$$
a_{n}(x) \frac{d^{n} y}{d x^{n}}+a_{n-1}(x) \frac{d^{n-1} y}{d x^{n-1}}+\ldots+a_{0}(x) y=f(x)
$$

This means that $y^{\prime \prime}+3 x^{3} y^{\prime}+\cos (x) y=e^{x}$ is linear since $y$ and all of its derivatives show up linearly.
On the other hand, $y^{\prime} y=1$ is nonlinear because of the $y^{\prime} y$ term.

## First Order Differential Equations

In class, we discussed several ways to solve a first-order differential equation. The biggest step to solving an ODE is to classify the type. The most general first order ODE can be written in the form

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y)
$$

Once you know that the ODE is first-order, you have the following "flow-chart" to help you determine how to solve the problem:

1. Is the ODE separable? Can the ODE be written in the form $y^{\prime}=f(x) g(y)$ ? If so, separate and integrate. Try solving the ODE for $y^{\prime}$ and then look to see if you can factor the right-hand side. Never spend more than a minute trying to check if the ODE is separable.
2. Is the ODE linear? Can the ODE be written in the form $y^{\prime}+p(x) y=g(x)$ ? If so, you can either solve via the linear integrating factor $(\mu(x))$, or using VOP.
3. Is the ODE exact? In other words, if written in the form $M(x, y)+N(x, y) y^{\prime}=0$, does $M_{y}=N_{x}$ ? If so, solve as an exact ODE.
4. Is the ODE a Bernoulli type? That is, can the ODE be written in the form $y^{\prime}+p(x) y=g(x) y^{n}$ ? If so, make the substitution $v(x)=(y(x))^{1-n}$ and solve as a linear ODE.

If all of the above techniques fail, you can always analyze the behavior of solutions via a direction field. These techniques or approaches are summarized below.

## SEPARABLE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

A first order differential equation is separable if we can write it in the form

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x) \cdot g(y) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \int \frac{d y}{g(y)}=\int f(x) d x+c
$$

In other words, if we can separate the $x$ 's and the $y$ 's, we can solve the equation by integrating each side of the equation.

## LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

There are two different techniques that we learned for solving first-order ODEs of the form

$$
y^{\prime}+p(x) y=g(x)
$$

They are:

1. Linear Integrating Factors
2. Variation of Parameters

Both techniques are explored below.

## Linear Integrating Factors

## Definition: Linear Integrating Factor (Linear First Order ODE)

The integrating factor for a first order linear ODE of the form

$$
y^{\prime}(x)+p(x) y(x)=g(x)
$$

is a function $\mu(x)$ such that when the above differential equation is multiplied by $\mu(x)$ yielding

$$
\mu(x) y^{\prime}(x)+\mu(x) p(x) y(x)=\mu(x) g(x)
$$

the left-hand side can be rewritten as a product rule of the form

$$
\frac{d}{d x}(\mu(x) y(x))=\mu(x) g(x) .
$$

The unknown function $y(x)$ can be solved for by integrating both sides of the equation with respect to $x$.

Variation of Parameters (VoP)

Definition: Variation of Parameters (Linear First Order ODE)

Consider the first-order, linear ODE of the form

$$
y^{\prime}(x)+p(x) y(x)=g(x) .
$$

You can find the solution by separating this into two separate problems:

1. Solve the homogeneous problem $y^{\prime}(x)+p(x) y(x)=0$. This ODE is separable, so you can separate and integrate. Call this solution $y_{h}(x)$.
2. The solution $y_{h}(x)$ will depend on a constant of integration $c$. Rename this solution to $y_{p}(x)$ where the $c$ has been replaced with an unknown function $v(x)$. This will now be your guess for the particular solution. You can find $v(x)$ by plugging in your guess for the solution back into $y^{\prime}(x)+p(x) y(x)=g(x)$.
3. Solve for $v(x)$.
4. Now that you know $v(x)$, the general solution to $y^{\prime}(x)+p(x) y(x)=g(x)$ is given by $y_{p}(x)$ with $v(x)$ plugged in.

## Definition: Exact Equation

An ODE of the form

$$
\underbrace{M(x, y)}_{f_{x}}+\underbrace{N(x, y)}_{f_{y}} y^{\prime}=0
$$

is exact if and only if

$$
(N(x, y))_{x}=(M(x, y))_{y}
$$

Alternatively, it might be easier to remember to check the conditions $f_{x y}=f_{y x}$, where $f_{x}$ and $f_{y}$ are indicated above.

If the ODE is exact, then $f(x, y)$ can be found by solving

$$
f_{x}=M(x, y), \quad \text { and } \quad f_{y}=N(x, y)
$$

Once $f(x, y)$ is determined, the implicit solution to the ODE is given by

$$
f(x, y)=c
$$

## Definition: Nonlinear Integrating Factors (Nonlinear First Order ODE)

If an ODE of the form

$$
N(x, y) y^{\prime}+M(x, y)=0
$$

is not exact, the goal is to find a function $\mu(x, y)$ so that when we multiply the ODE by $\mu(x, y)$ the equation becomes exact. In other words, can we find a $\mu(x, y)$ so that

$$
\mu(x, y) N(x, y) y^{\prime}+\mu(x, y) M(x, y)=0
$$

is exact. This means that the nonlinear integrating function $\mu(x, y)$ satisfies the partial differential equation given by

$$
(\mu(x, y) N(x, y))_{x}=(\mu(x, y) M(x, y))_{y}
$$

This can be a very difficult process. See the below for tricks.

Typically, we assume that $\mu(x, y)$ is a function of $x$ or $y$ ONLY. In doing so, we hopefully can find a solution for the function $\mu$ by solving the equation that results from $(\mu N)_{x}=(\mu M)_{y}$.

For example, if $\mu(x, y)$ is a function of $x$ only $(\mu(x, y) \rightarrow \mu(x)$, then the above condition simplifies to

$$
(\mu N)_{x}=(\mu M)_{y} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mu_{x} N+\mu N_{x}=\mu M_{y}
$$

We can write this as a first order ODE for $\mu$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{x}=\mu \frac{\left(M_{y}-N_{x}\right)}{N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\frac{\left(M_{y}-N_{x}\right)}{N}$ depends only on $x$, we can solve this ODE for the integrating factor $\mu$ via separation of variables.

What would Equation (1) be if we assumed that $\mu$ was a function of only $y$ ? Hint: expand $(\mu N)_{x}=(\mu M)_{y}$ using the product rule for derivatives remembering that $\mu$ does not depend on $x$ so that $\mu_{x}=0$.

## Bernoulli-Type ODEs

## Definition: Bernoulli Type Differential Equation

If a first order ODE can be written in the form

$$
y^{\prime}+p(x) y=g(x) y^{n}
$$

where $n \neq 0,1$, then the ODE can be transformed into a linear ODE for a new variable $v(x)$ by making the substitution $v(x)=(y(x))^{n-1}$. The function $v(x)$ can be solved for using standard linear techniques (linear integrating function or VOP), and $y(x)$ can be found letting $y(x)=(v(x))^{1 / n}$.

## Analyzing without Solving

We discussed several ways to analyze first order differential equations without solving. These methods include

- Examining the direction field - Let $y^{\prime}=f(x, y)$. To draw the direction field,

1. Choose a point in the $x-y$ plane $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, and evaluate $y^{\prime}$ at this point.
2. At the point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, draw a small arrow with the slope found in part (1).
3. Repeat this process for a lot of points $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. The plot that you obtain will give you a good idea of how solutions behave. NOTE: You can use the dfield applet found at http://math.rice.edu/ dfield/dfpp.html to automatically generate this plot.

- If $y^{\prime}=f(y)$ (there is no dependence on $x$ ), we can plot $y$ vs. $y^{\prime}$. If $y^{\prime}$ is positive, the solution $y(x)$ is increasing, and if $y^{\prime}$ is negative, the solution is decreasing. This also gives us information regarding the behavior of solutions without solving the differential equation.


## EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS

## Theorem

Consider the following first-order, linear, ordinary differential equation subject to the initial conditions given:

$$
y^{\prime}+p(x) y=g(x), \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

where $p(x)$ and $g(x)$ are continuous on an open interval $I$ (i.e. $a<x<b$ ) that also contains $x_{0}$ (i.e. $\left.a<x_{0}<b\right)$. Then, there exists exactly one solution $y(x)=\phi(x)$ of the differential equation $y^{\prime}+p(x) y=g(x)$ that also satisfies the initial conditions $y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$. The solution is guaranteed to exist and be unique for all $x$ in the interval $I$.

Consider the Linear 2nd order Constant Coefficient Homogeneous ODE (whew... that's a mouthful).

$$
a y^{\prime \prime}+b y^{\prime}+c y=0
$$

We can solve this differential equation with the following steps:

1. Assume that the solution is of the form $y=e^{\lambda x}$. Substitute this solution form into the differential equation to determine the characteristic equation

$$
a \lambda^{2}+b \lambda+c=0
$$

2. Solve the characteristic equation $a \lambda^{2}+b \lambda+c=0$ to find the roots or $\lambda$ values. This equation has two roots, $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$.
3. Write down the fundamental solutions:
(a) $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are both real and unique $\left(\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}\right)$. In this case, the two fundamental solutions are

$$
y_{1}=e^{\lambda_{1} x}, \quad y_{2}=e^{\lambda_{2} x}
$$

(b) $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}$ (the case of real, repeated roots). Then the two fundamental solutions are

$$
y_{1}=e^{\lambda_{1} x}, \quad y_{2}=x e^{\lambda_{1} x}
$$

(c) $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are complex conjugates ( $\lambda_{1}=\alpha+i \beta$, and $\lambda_{2}=\alpha-i \beta$ ). In this case, the two fundamental solutions are

$$
y_{1}=e^{\alpha x} \cos (\beta x), \quad y_{2}=e^{\alpha x} \sin (\beta x),
$$

4. Once you know the two fundamental solutions, you can write down the general solution

$$
y=c_{1} y_{1}+c_{2} y_{2}
$$

## Existence \& Uniqueness

Theorem
Consider the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=g(x), \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}, \quad y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{\prime},
$$

where $p(x), q(x)$ and $g(x)$ are continuous on an open interval I (*see note below) that also contains $x_{0}$. Then, there exists exactly one solution $y=\phi(x)$ of this problem and the solution exists throughout the interval $I$.
*Note: $I$ is just a range of $x$ values where the functions $p, q$, and $g$ are 11 well behaved".

It's important to note the three things that this theorem says:

1. The initial value problem has $a$ solution; in other words, a solution exists.
2. The initial value problem has only one solution; in other words, the solution is unique
3. The solution $\phi(x)$ is defined throughout the interval $I$ where the coefficients are continuous and is at least twice differentiable there.

## Example

Find the longest interval in which the solution of the initial value problem

$$
\left(t^{2}-3 t\right) \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+t \frac{d y}{d t}-(t+3) y=0, \quad y(1)=2,\left.\quad \frac{d y}{d t}\right|_{t=1}=1
$$

## Solution

In this problem, if we write it in the form where the coefficient of the second derivative term is one, we find that $p(t)=1 /(t-3), q(t)=-(t+3) /\left(t^{2}-3 t\right)$, and $g(t)=0$. The only points of discontinuity of the coefficients are at $t=0$ and $t=3$. Therefore, the longest open interval containing the initial point $t=1$ in which all of the coefficients are continuous is $I=0<t<3$. Thus, this is the longest interval in which our theorem guarantees that a solution exists.

## The Wronskian, Abel's Theorem, and Reduction of Order

Definition: The Wronskian

The Wronskian of any two function $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ is given by

$$
W(f, g)(x)=f(x) g^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(x) g(x)
$$

It can also be calculated as the determinant of the following matrix:

$$
W(f, g)(x)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f(x) & g(x) \\
f^{\prime}(x) & g^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right]=f(x) g^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(x) g(x)
$$

## Theorem

Linear Independence: Two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are linearly dependent if and only if their Wronskian

$$
W(f, g)(x)=f(x) g^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(x) g(x)=0
$$

for all $x$ values.

## Theorem

Abel's Theorem: Let $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ be any two fundamental solutions of

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=0,
$$

then

$$
W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=c \exp \left[-\int p(x) d x\right]
$$

where $c$ is an arbitrary, non-zero, constant.

## Example

If you are given one fundamental solution $\left(y_{1}\right)$ to the ODE

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=0
$$

how can you determine a second fundamental solution?

## SOLUTION

You can determine the second fundamental solution using either one of the following methods:

1. Use Abel's Theorem which states $W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=c e^{-\int p(x) d x}$ in conjunction with the definition of the Wronskian $W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime}-y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}$ to solve for $y_{2}$.
2. Assume that $y_{2}(x)=u(x) y_{1}(x)$. In other words, let your second fundamental solution be a function times your first. Plug in this guess to the ODE and solve for $u(x)$.

In class, we always used the first method, however, either method will work.

## SECOND ORDER Differential Equations (NON-HOMOGENEOUS)

A linear second-order nonhomogeneous ODE is of the form

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=g(x)
$$

We discussed how the general-solution $y(x)$ consisted of two parts:

1. The homogeneous solution $y_{h}$. This solution solves the problem $y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=0$.
2. The particular solution $y_{p}$. This solution solves the problem $y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=g(x)$.

Thus, $y(x)=y_{h}(x)+y_{p}(x)$. There are two different methods to solve the nonhomogeneous problem: (1) the method of undetermined coefficients, and (2) variation of parameters

```
Variation of parameters for 2nd order ODEs
```

Will not be covered on this exam.

## Method of Undetermined Coefficients

If the differential equation is of the form

$$
a y^{\prime \prime}+b y^{\prime}+c y=g(x)
$$

we can use MoUC. This method is generally pretty easy, however, there are down sides:

1. The coefficients of $y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}$, and $y$ must all be constants.
2. The function $g(x)$ must be of a specific form (see table below).

If the above conditions are true, then we can guess the form of the particular solution using the following rules:

| Rule Name | If $g(x)$ is of the form... | Guess $y_{p}(x)$ of the form... |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Constant | $c$ | $A$ |
| Exponential | $\alpha e^{\kappa x}$ | $A e^{\kappa x}$ |
| Polynomial | $\alpha_{N} x^{N}+\ldots+\alpha_{1} x+\alpha_{0}$ | $A_{N} x^{n}+\ldots+A_{1} x+A_{0}$ |
| Exp-Poly | $e^{\kappa x}\left(\alpha_{N} x^{N}+\ldots+\alpha_{1} x+\alpha_{0}\right)$ | $e^{\kappa x}\left(A_{N} x^{n}+\ldots+A_{1} x+A_{0}\right)$ |
| Cosine-Sine | $\alpha^{\cos (\omega x)+\beta \sin (\omega x)}$ | $A \cos (\omega x)+B \sin (\omega x)$ |
| Poly Cosine-Sine | $P_{n}(x) \cos (\omega x)+Q_{m}(x) \sin (\omega x)$ | $S_{N}(x) \cos (\omega x)+T_{N}(x) \sin (\omega x)$ |
| Poly-Exp Cosine-Sine | $e^{\kappa x}\left(P_{n}(x) \cos (\omega x)+Q_{m}(x) \sin (\omega x)\right)$ | $e^{\kappa x}\left(S_{N}(x) \cos (\omega x)+T_{N}(x) \sin (\omega x)\right)$ |

So, in general, we use our seven rules to give an initial guess for the form of $y_{P}$. Next, if any term in this guess appears in the homogeneous solution, we multiply the entire guess by $x$. Now, we check if any of the new terms still appear in the homogeneous solution. If so, we multiply by $x$ again, and so on.

THEREFORE, it is imperative that you know the fundamental solutions to the homogeneous problem before you make your guess for the particular solution.

