

Tensor decompositions of II_1 factors arising from AFP Groups

Rolando de Santiago

Joint with Ionut Chifan and Wanchalerm Sukpicarnon

UC Los Angeles

West Coast Operator Algebras Symposium

Seattle

5 Oct 2018

Definition

$M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra if M is a unital, WOT closed, $*$ -subalgebra.

$\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ in WOT iff $|\langle (x_n - x)\eta, \xi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ for every $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$

Definition

$M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra if M is a unital, WOT closed, $*$ -subalgebra.

$\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ in WOT iff $|\langle (x_n - x)\eta, \xi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ for every $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$

Let $M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra.

- ▶ M a *factor* if $\mathcal{Z}(M) = M' \cap M \cong \mathbb{C}$, where $M' = \{y \in B(\mathcal{H}) : xy = yx \forall x \in M\}$.

Definition

$M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra if M is a unital, WOT closed, $*$ -subalgebra.

$\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ in WOT iff $|\langle (x_n - x)\eta, \xi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ for every $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$

Let $M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra.

- ▶ M a *factor* if $\mathcal{Z}(M) = M' \cap M \cong \mathbb{C}$, where $M' = \{y \in B(\mathcal{H}) : xy = yx \forall x \in M\}$.
- ▶ M is type II_1 if M is infinite dimensional and admits a normal faithful tracial state $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition

$M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra if M is a unital, WOT closed, $*$ -subalgebra.

$\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ in WOT iff $|\langle (x_n - x)\eta, \xi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ for every $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$

Let $M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra.

- ▶ M a *factor* if $\mathcal{Z}(M) = M' \cap M \cong \mathbb{C}$, where $M' = \{y \in B(\mathcal{H}) : xy = yx \forall x \in M\}$.
- ▶ M is type II_1 if M is infinite dimensional and admits a normal faithful tracial state $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- ▶ M is a von Neumann algebra iff $M'' = M$ (Murray von Neumann 36).

Definition

$M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra if M is a unital, WOT closed, $*$ -subalgebra.

$\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ in WOT iff $|\langle (x_n - x)\eta, \xi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ for every $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$

Let $M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra.

- ▶ M a factor if $\mathcal{Z}(M) = M' \cap M \cong \mathbb{C}$, where $M' = \{y \in B(\mathcal{H}) : xy = yx \forall x \in M\}$.
- ▶ M is type II_1 if M is infinite dimensional and admits a normal faithful tracial state $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- ▶ M is a von Neumann algebra iff $M'' = M$ (Murray von Neumann 36).

Remark

- ▶ If M is type II_1 , then $\tau(\mathcal{P}(M)) = [0, 1]$.

Definition

$M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra if M is a unital, WOT closed, $*$ -subalgebra.

$\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ in WOT iff $|\langle (x_n - x)\eta, \xi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ for every $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$

Let $M \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra.

- ▶ M a factor if $\mathcal{Z}(M) = M' \cap M \cong \mathbb{C}$, where $M' = \{y \in B(\mathcal{H}) : xy = yx \forall x \in M\}$.
- ▶ M is type II_1 if M is infinite dimensional and admits a normal faithful tracial state $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- ▶ M is a von Neumann algebra iff $M'' = M$ (Murray von Neumann 36).

Remark

- ▶ If M is type II_1 , then $\tau(\mathcal{P}(M)) = [0, 1]$.
- ▶ If $t > 0$ then $M^t := pMp$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}) \bar{\otimes} M)$ with $(\tau_n \otimes \tau)(p) = t/n$ for n "large enough."

The Hyperfinite II_1 Factor

Tensor decompositions
of II_1 factors arising
from AFP Groups

R. de Santiago

Let $\tau_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the normalized trace.

Introduction

Motivation Results

Product Rigidity

Classification of Tensor
Decomposition

New Directions

The Hyperfinite II_1 Factor

Let $\tau_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the normalized trace.

$$(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \tau_2) \hookrightarrow (M_4(\mathbb{C}), \tau_4) \hookrightarrow (M_8(\mathbb{C}), \tau_8) \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$$

The Hyperfinite II_1 Factor

Let $\tau_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the normalized trace.

$$(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \tau_2) \hookrightarrow (M_4(\mathbb{C}), \tau_4) \hookrightarrow (M_8(\mathbb{C}), \tau_8) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &:= \overline{\bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \tau_2)}^{WOT} \\ &= \overline{\bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (M_k(\mathbb{C}), \tau_k)}^{WOT} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \\ &= \overline{\bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}), \tau_{k_n})}^{WOT} \quad \forall \{k_n\} \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})^{\mathbb{N}} \end{aligned}$$

Γ discrete countable group $\rightsquigarrow L(\Gamma)$ group von Neumann algebra

Introduction

Motivation Results

Product Rigidity

Classification of Tensor
Decomposition

New Directions

Γ discrete countable group $\rightsquigarrow L(\Gamma)$ group von Neumann algebra

▶ $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by

$$\gamma \cdot (\eta)(\lambda) := \eta(\gamma^{-1}\lambda) \quad \forall \eta \in \ell^2(\Gamma), \gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma.$$

Γ discrete countable group $\rightsquigarrow L(\Gamma)$ group von Neumann algebra

- ▶ $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by
 $\gamma \cdot (\eta)(\lambda) := \eta(\gamma^{-1}\lambda) \quad \forall \eta \in \ell^2(\Gamma), \gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma.$
- ▶ $L(\Gamma) := \overline{C[\Gamma]}^{WOT} = \{\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}'' \subseteq B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$

Γ discrete countable group $\rightsquigarrow L(\Gamma)$ group von Neumann algebra

- ▶ $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by
 $\gamma \cdot (\eta)(\lambda) := \eta(\gamma^{-1}\lambda) \quad \forall \eta \in \ell^2(\Gamma), \gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma.$
- ▶ $L(\Gamma) := \overline{C[\Gamma]}^{WOT} = \{\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}'' \subseteq B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$
- ▶ $\tau : L(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\tau(x) = \langle x\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle.$

Γ discrete countable group $\rightsquigarrow L(\Gamma)$ group von Neumann algebra

- ▶ $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by
 $\gamma \cdot (\eta)(\lambda) := \eta(\gamma^{-1}\lambda) \quad \forall \eta \in \ell^2(\Gamma), \gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma.$
- ▶ $L(\Gamma) := \overline{C[\Gamma]}^{WOT} = \{\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}'' \subseteq B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$
- ▶ $\tau : L(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\tau(x) = \langle x\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle.$

Remark

- ▶ For $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$, $\gamma^\Sigma = \{\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1} : \sigma \in \Sigma\}.$

Γ discrete countable group $\rightsquigarrow L(\Gamma)$ group von Neumann algebra

- ▶ $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by
 $\gamma \cdot (\eta)(\lambda) := \eta(\gamma^{-1}\lambda) \quad \forall \eta \in \ell^2(\Gamma), \gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma.$
- ▶ $L(\Gamma) := \overline{C[\Gamma]}^{WOT} = \{\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}'' \subseteq B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$
- ▶ $\tau : L(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\tau(x) = \langle x\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle.$

Remark

- ▶ For $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$, $\gamma^\Sigma = \{\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1} : \sigma \in \Sigma\}.$
- ▶ Γ is icc if $|\gamma^\Gamma| = \infty$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}.$

Γ discrete countable group $\rightsquigarrow L(\Gamma)$ group von Neumann algebra

- ▶ $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by
 $\gamma \cdot (\eta)(\lambda) := \eta(\gamma^{-1}\lambda) \quad \forall \eta \in \ell^2(\Gamma), \gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma.$
- ▶ $L(\Gamma) := \overline{C[\Gamma]}^{WOT} = \{\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}'' \subseteq B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$
- ▶ $\tau : L(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\tau(x) = \langle x\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle.$

Remark

- ▶ For $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$, $\gamma^\Sigma = \{\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1} : \sigma \in \Sigma\}.$
- ▶ Γ is icc if $|\gamma^\Gamma| = \infty$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}.$
- ▶ Γ icc iff $L(\Gamma)$ is a II_1 factor.

Γ discrete countable group $\rightsquigarrow L(\Gamma)$ group von Neumann algebra

- ▶ $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by
$$\gamma \cdot (\eta)(\lambda) := \eta(\gamma^{-1}\lambda) \quad \forall \eta \in \ell^2(\Gamma), \gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma.$$
- ▶ $L(\Gamma) := \overline{C[\Gamma]}^{WOT} = \{\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}'' \subseteq B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$
- ▶ $\tau : L(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\tau(x) = \langle x\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$.

Remark

- ▶ For $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$, $\gamma^\Sigma = \{\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1} : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$.
- ▶ Γ is icc if $|\gamma^\Gamma| = \infty$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$.
- ▶ Γ icc iff $L(\Gamma)$ is a II_1 factor.

Remark

- ▶ $L(\Gamma)' \cap L(\Gamma)$ generated by

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \gamma^\Gamma} \sigma \subseteq L(\Gamma)$$

s.t. $|\gamma^\Gamma| < \infty$.

Remark

- ▶ $L(\Gamma)' \cap L(\Gamma)$ generated by

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \gamma^\Gamma} \sigma \subseteq L(\Gamma)$$

s.t. $|\gamma^\Gamma| < \infty$.

- ▶ If $\Sigma \leq \Gamma$, $L(\Sigma)' \cap L(\Gamma)$ is generated by

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \gamma^\Sigma} \sigma \subseteq L(\Gamma)$$

s.t. $|\gamma^\Sigma| < \infty$.

- ▶ For $\Sigma \leq \Gamma$, the *virtual centralizer of Σ inside Γ* is

$$VC_\Gamma(\Sigma) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma^\Sigma| < \infty\} \leq \Gamma$$

Main Theme: Determine which properties of Γ extend to $L(\Gamma)$,
e.g. if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ then what properties do Γ and Λ share?

Main Theme: Determine which properties of Γ extend to $L(\Gamma)$,
e.g. if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ then what properties do Γ and Λ share?

- ▶ $L(\Gamma) \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ if Γ infinite abelian.

Main Theme: Determine which properties of Γ extend to $L(\Gamma)$,
e.g. if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ then what properties do Γ and Λ share?

- ▶ $L(\Gamma) \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ if Γ infinite abelian.
- ▶ If Γ, Λ amenable icc, $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{R}$, the unique hyperfinite II_1 factor (Connes '76).

Main Theme: Determine which properties of Γ extend to $L(\Gamma)$,
e.g. if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ then what properties do Γ and Λ share?

- ▶ $L(\Gamma) \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ if Γ infinite abelian.
- ▶ If Γ, Λ amenable icc, $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{R}$, the unique hyperfinite II_1 factor (Connes '76).
 - ▶ Ex: $\Gamma = S_\infty$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$.

Main Theme: Determine which properties of Γ extend to $L(\Gamma)$,
e.g. if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ then what properties do Γ and Λ share?

- ▶ $L(\Gamma) \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ if Γ infinite abelian.
- ▶ If Γ, Λ amenable icc, $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{R}$, the unique hyperfinite II_1 factor (Connes '76).
 - ▶ Ex: $\Gamma = S_\infty, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k$ infinite amenable, then $L(\Gamma_1 * \dots * \Gamma_k) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_k)$ (Dykema '93).

Main Theme: Determine which properties of Γ extend to $L(\Gamma)$,
e.g. if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ then what properties do Γ and Λ share?

- ▶ $L(\Gamma) \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ if Γ infinite abelian.
- ▶ If Γ, Λ amenable icc, $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{R}$, the unique hyperfinite II_1 factor (Connes '76).
 - ▶ Ex: $\Gamma = S_\infty$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k$ infinite amenable, then $L(\Gamma_1 * \dots * \Gamma_k) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_k)$ (Dykema '93).

- ▶ $L(\mathbb{F}_2) \not\cong L(S_\infty)$ (Murray and von Neumann 42)

- ▶ $L(\mathbb{F}_2) \not\cong L(S_\infty)$ (Murray and von Neumann 42).
- ▶ There exist $\{\Gamma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ s.t. $L(\Gamma_\alpha) \not\cong L(\Gamma_\beta)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ (McDuff 69).

- ▶ $L(\mathbb{F}_2) \not\cong L(S_\infty)$ (Murray and von Neumann 42).
- ▶ There exist $\{\Gamma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ s.t. $L(\Gamma_\alpha) \not\cong L(\Gamma_\beta)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ (McDuff 69).
- ▶ If Γ is icc hyperboic then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Ozawa 02).

- ▶ $L(\mathbb{F}_2) \not\cong L(S_\infty)$ (Murray and von Neumann 42).
- ▶ There exist $\{\Gamma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ s.t. $L(\Gamma_\alpha) \not\cong L(\Gamma_\beta)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ (McDuff 69).
- ▶ If Γ is icc hyperboic then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Ozawa 02).

Using Popa's deformation/rigidity theory:

- ▶ $L(\mathbb{F}_2) \not\cong L(S_\infty)$ (Murray and von Neumann 42).
- ▶ There exist $\{\Gamma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ s.t. $L(\Gamma_\alpha) \not\cong L(\Gamma_\beta)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ (McDuff 69).
- ▶ If Γ is icc hyperbolic then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Ozawa 02).

Using Popa's deformation/rigidity theory:

- ▶ If Γ is icc with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$. then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Peterson 06).

- ▶ $L(\mathbb{F}_2) \not\cong L(S_\infty)$ (Murray and von Neumann 42).
- ▶ There exist $\{\Gamma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ s.t. $L(\Gamma_\alpha) \not\cong L(\Gamma_\beta)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ (McDuff 69).
- ▶ If Γ is icc hyperbolic then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Ozawa 02).

Using Popa's deformation/rigidity theory:

- ▶ If Γ is icc with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$. then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Peterson 06).
- ▶ There exist groups Γ so that if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$, then $\Gamma \cong \Lambda$ (Ioana-Popa-Vaes '12).

- ▶ $L(\mathbb{F}_2) \not\cong L(S_\infty)$ (Murray and von Neumann 42).
- ▶ There exist $\{\Gamma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ s.t. $L(\Gamma_\alpha) \not\cong L(\Gamma_\beta)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ (McDuff 69).
- ▶ If Γ is icc hyperbolic then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Ozawa 02).

Using Popa's deformation/rigidity theory:

- ▶ If Γ is icc with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$. then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Peterson 06).
- ▶ There exist groups Γ so that if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$, then $\Gamma \cong \Lambda$ (Ioana-Popa-Vaes '12).
- ▶ $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_\Sigma \Gamma_2$ + extra conditions. If $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$, then $\Gamma \cong \Lambda$ (Chifan-Ioana '17).

- ▶ $L(\mathbb{F}_2) \not\cong L(S_\infty)$ (Murray and von Neumann 42).
- ▶ There exist $\{\Gamma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ s.t. $L(\Gamma_\alpha) \not\cong L(\Gamma_\beta)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ (McDuff 69).
- ▶ If Γ is icc hyperbolic then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Ozawa 02).

Using Popa's deformation/rigidity theory:

- ▶ If Γ is icc with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$. then $L(\Gamma) \not\cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)$ for any infinite i.c.c. groups Λ_i (Peterson 06).
- ▶ There exist groups Γ so that if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$, then $\Gamma \cong \Lambda$ (Ioana-Popa-Vaes '12).
- ▶ $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_\Sigma \Gamma_2$ + extra conditions. If $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$, then $\Gamma \cong \Lambda$ (Chifan-Ioana '17).

Tensor product and Direct Product Decompositions

Tensor decompositions
of II_1 factors arising
from AFP Groups

R. de Santiago

$$L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Gamma_1) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_2)$$

Introduction

Motivation Results

Product Rigidity

Classification of Tensor
Decomposition

New Directions

Tensor product and Direct Product Decompositions

$$L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Gamma_1) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_2) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2.$$

Questions:

1. When does $L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Lambda)$ imply $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$?

Tensor product and Direct Product Decompositions

$$L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Gamma_1) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_2) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2.$$

Questions:

1. When does $L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Lambda)$ imply $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$?
2. If $L(\Gamma) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, can we conclude $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$?

Tensor product and Direct Product Decompositions

$$L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Gamma_1) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_2) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2.$$

Questions:

1. When does $L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Lambda)$ imply $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$?
2. If $L(\Gamma) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, can we conclude $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$?

Non-Uniqueness of Tensor Decomposition:

If $M = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$ then

Tensor product and Direct Product Decompositions

$$L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Gamma_1) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_2) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2.$$

Questions:

1. When does $L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Lambda)$ imply $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$?
2. If $L(\Gamma) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, can we conclude $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$?

Non-Uniqueness of Tensor Decomposition:

If $M = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$ then

- ▶ $M = Q_1 \bar{\otimes} Q_2$, $Q_i = u^* P_i u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$.
- ▶ $M = P_1^t \bar{\otimes} P_2^{1/t}$ for all $t > 0$.

Tensor product and Direct Product Decompositions

$$L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Gamma_1) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_2) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2.$$

Questions:

1. When does $L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Lambda)$ imply $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$?
2. If $L(\Gamma) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, can we conclude $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$?

Non-Uniqueness of Tensor Decomposition:

If $M = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$ then

- ▶ $M = Q_1 \bar{\otimes} Q_2$, $Q_i = u^* P_i u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$.
- ▶ $M = P_1^t \bar{\otimes} P_2^{1/t}$ for all $t > 0$.

Ideal Situation:

If $M = P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_m \cong Q_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} Q_n$, with P_i, Q_j indecomposable as tensor products, then

Tensor product and Direct Product Decompositions

$$L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Gamma_1) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_2) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2.$$

Questions:

1. When does $L(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong L(\Lambda)$ imply $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$?
2. If $L(\Gamma) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, can we conclude $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$?

Non-Uniqueness of Tensor Decomposition:

If $M = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$ then

- ▶ $M = Q_1 \bar{\otimes} Q_2$, $Q_i = u^* P_i u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$.
- ▶ $M = P_1^t \bar{\otimes} P_2^{1/t}$ for all $t > 0$.

Ideal Situation:

If $M = P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_m \cong Q_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} Q_n$, with P_i, Q_j indecomposable as tensor products, then

- ▶ $n = m$, and
- ▶ $P_i = u^* Q_i^{t_i} u$, for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, $t_i > 0$ s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Theorem (Ozawa, Popa 03)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong M \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_n$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc and P_j prime. Then

- ▶ $n = m$, and
- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uP_i^{t_i} u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, $t_i > 0$, s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Theorem (Ozawa, Popa 03)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong M \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_n$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc and P_j prime. Then

- ▶ $n = m$, and
- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uP_i^{t_i} u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, $t_i > 0$, s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

$L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong M \cong L(\Lambda)$, with $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n$, Γ_i, Λ_j hyperbolic icc. Then

- ▶ $n = m$, and
- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i} u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, $t_i > 0$, s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Theorem (Ozawa, Popa 03)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong M \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_n$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc and P_j prime. Then

- ▶ $n = m$, and
- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uP_i^{t_i} u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, $t_i > 0$, s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

$L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong M \cong L(\Lambda)$, with $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n$, Γ_i, Λ_j hyperbolic icc. Then

- ▶ $n = m$, and
- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i} u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, $t_i > 0$, s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Can we remove the assumptions on Λ ?

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sinclair 15)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong L(\Lambda)$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ with

- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i}u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(L(\Lambda))$, $t_i > 0$,
s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sinclair 15)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong L(\Lambda)$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ with

- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i}u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(L(\Lambda))$, $t_i > 0$,
s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Strategy of Proof:

- ▶ “Discretize” commutation to embed $L(\Gamma_1) \preceq L(\Sigma)$ in the sense of Popa for some $\Sigma < \Lambda$ with non-amenable centralizer - simultaneously analyze all embeddings $L(\Gamma_1) \preceq L(\Omega) \forall \Omega \leq \Lambda$ via comultiplication map and ultrapower techniques (Ioana 11).

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sinclair 15)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong L(\Lambda)$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ with

- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i}u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(L(\Lambda))$, $t_i > 0$,
s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Strategy of Proof:

- ▶ “Discretize” commutation to embed $L(\Gamma_1) \preceq L(\Sigma)$ in the sense of Popa for some $\Sigma < \Lambda$ with non-amenable centralizer - simultaneously analyze all embeddings
 $L(\Gamma_1) \preceq L(\Omega) \forall \Omega \leq \Lambda$ via comultiplication map and ultrapower techniques (Ioana 11).
- ▶ $L(\Sigma) \vee (L(\Sigma)' \cap L(\Lambda)) \subset L(\Lambda)$ is finite index inclusion of II_1 factors.

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sinclair 15)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong L(\Lambda)$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ with

- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i}u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(L(\Lambda))$, $t_i > 0$,
s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Strategy of Proof:

- ▶ “Discretize” commutation to embed $L(\Gamma_1) \preceq L(\Sigma)$ in the sense of Popa for some $\Sigma < \Lambda$ with non-amenable centralizer - simultaneously analyze all embeddings $L(\Gamma_1) \preceq L(\Omega) \forall \Omega \leq \Lambda$ via comultiplication map and ultrapower techniques (Ioana 11).
- ▶ $L(\Sigma) \vee (L(\Sigma)' \cap L(\Lambda)) \subset L(\Lambda)$ is finite index inclusion of II_1 factors.
- ▶ Σ and $VC_\Lambda(\Sigma) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : |\lambda^\Sigma| < \infty\}$ commensurate Λ .

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sinclair 15)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong L(\Lambda)$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ with

- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i}u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(L(\Lambda))$, $t_i > 0$,
s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Strategy of Proof:

- ▶ “Discretize” commutation to embed $L(\Gamma_1) \preceq L(\Sigma)$ in the sense of Popa for some $\Sigma < \Lambda$ with non-amenable centralizer - simultaneously analyze all embeddings $L(\Gamma_1) \preceq L(\Omega) \forall \Omega \leq \Lambda$ via comultiplication map and ultrapower techniques (Ioana 11).
- ▶ $L(\Sigma) \vee (L(\Sigma)' \cap L(\Lambda)) \subset L(\Lambda)$ is finite index inclusion of II_1 factors.
- ▶ Σ and $VC_\Lambda(\Sigma) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : |\lambda^\Sigma| < \infty\}$ commensurate Λ .
- ▶ Pass to groups of finite index to recover direct product.
- ▶ Ozawa-Popa argument to build unitary and projections as required.

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sinclair 15)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_m \cong L(\Lambda)$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ with

- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i}u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(L\Lambda)$, $t_i > 0$,
s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sinclair 15)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_m \cong L(\Lambda)$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ with

- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i}u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(L\Lambda)$, $t_i > 0$,
s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

- ▶ Statement is optimal:

$$L(\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_9) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_2)^{1/2} \bar{\otimes} L(\mathbb{F}_9)^2 \cong L(\mathbb{F}_5) \bar{\otimes} L(\mathbb{F}_3) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_5 \times \mathbb{F}_3)$$

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sinclair 15)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_m \cong L(\Lambda)$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ with

- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i}u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(L\Lambda)$, $t_i > 0$,
s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

- ▶ Statement is optimal:

$$L(\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_9) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_2)^{1/2} \bar{\otimes} L(\mathbb{F}_9)^2 \cong L(\mathbb{F}_5) \bar{\otimes} L(\mathbb{F}_3) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_5 \times \mathbb{F}_3)$$

- ▶ $L(\Lambda)$ non-prime implies $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$.

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sinclair 15)

Let $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_m \cong L(\Lambda)$ with Γ_i hyperbolic icc. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ with

- ▶ $L(\Gamma_i) = uL(\Lambda_i)^{t_i}u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(L\Lambda)$, $t_i > 0$,
s.t. $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$.

- ▶ Statement is optimal:

$$L(\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_9) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_2)^{1/2} \bar{\otimes} L(\mathbb{F}_9)^2 \cong L(\mathbb{F}_5) \bar{\otimes} L(\mathbb{F}_3) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_5 \times \mathbb{F}_3)$$

- ▶ $L(\Lambda)$ non-prime implies $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$.
- ▶ Classification of tensor decompositions of $L(\Lambda)$.

Theorem (Drimbe-Hoff-ioana 16)

Let $\Lambda \leq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ an icc lattice where G_i rank 1 non-compact simple Lie groups. If $L(\Lambda) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$ with $L(\Lambda_i) \cong P_i^{t_i}$, $t_1 t_2 = 1$.

Theorem (Drimbe-Hoff-loana 16)

Let $\Lambda \leq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ an icc lattice where G_i rank 1 non-compact simple Lie groups. If $L(\Lambda) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$ with $L(\Lambda_i) \cong P_i^{t_i}$, $t_1 t_2 = 1$.

Theorem (dS-Pant 17)

Let Γ be an i.c.c. group such that

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_n \triangleright \Gamma_{n-1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \Gamma_1 \triangleright \Gamma_0 \{e\}$$

with Γ_i/Γ_{i-1} hyperbolic i.c.c. If $L(\Gamma) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, then $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ with $L(\Gamma_i) \cong P_1^{t_i}$.

Theorem (Drimbe-Hoff-ioana 16)

Let $\Lambda \leq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ an icc lattice where G_i rank 1 non-compact simple Lie groups. If $L(\Lambda) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$ with $L(\Lambda_i) \cong P_i^{t_i}$, $t_1 t_2 = 1$.

Theorem (dS-Pant 17)

Let Γ be an i.c.c. group such that

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_n \triangleright \Gamma_{n-1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \Gamma_1 \triangleright \Gamma_0 \{e\}$$

with Γ_i/Γ_{i-1} hyperbolic i.c.c. If $L(\Gamma) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, then $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ with $L(\Gamma_i) \cong P_1^{t_i}$.

Theorem (Chifan-Udrea 18)

If $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i$ where Γ_i are icc hyperbolic property (T) groups, and $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$, then $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_i \oplus A$, with Λ_i property (T) and A amenable i.c.c.

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sucpikarnon 17)

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_{\Sigma} \Gamma_2$ where

1. Γ_i , are *icc*,
2. $[\Gamma_1 : \Sigma] \geq 2$ and $[\Gamma_2 : \Sigma] \geq 3$,
3. $L(\Sigma)$ is *solid*, e.g. *hyperbolic icc*.

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sucpikarnon 17)

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_{\Sigma} \Gamma_2$ where

1. Γ_i , are icc,
2. $[\Gamma_1 : \Sigma] \geq 2$ and $[\Gamma_2 : \Sigma] \geq 3$,
3. $L(\Sigma)$ is solid, e.g. hyperbolic icc.

If $L(\Gamma) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, then

- ▶ $\Gamma_i = \Lambda_i \times \Omega$,
- ▶ $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \times \Omega$,
- ▶ $P_1^t \cong L(\Omega)$, and $P_2^{1/t} \cong L(\Lambda_1 *_{\Sigma_0} \Lambda_2)$.

Prime von Neumann Algebras from Simple Groups

Corollary

Let Γ be a group in one of the following classes:

- the integral two-dimensional Cremona group $\text{Aut}_k(k[x, y])$;
- the Higman group
 $\langle a, b, c, d \mid a^b = a^2, b^c = b^2, c^d = c^2, d^a = d^2 \rangle$;
- the Burger-Mozes groups $\mathbb{F}_n *_{\mathbb{F}_k} \mathbb{F}_m$;
- $\text{PSL}_2(k[t])$.

$L(\Gamma)$ is is indefeasible as a tensor product.

Prime von Neumann Algebras from Simple Groups

Corollary

Let Γ be a group in one of the following classes:

- the integral two-dimensional Cremona group $\text{Aut}_k(k[x, y])$;
- the Higman group
 $\langle a, b, c, d \mid a^b = a^2, b^c = b^2, c^d = c^2, d^a = d^2 \rangle$;
- the Burger-Mozes groups $\mathbb{F}_n *_{\mathbb{F}_k} \mathbb{F}_m$;
- $\text{PSL}_2(k[t])$.

$L(\Gamma)$ is is indefeasible as a tensor product.

Proof.

All are AFP groups as required and are simple or (acylindrically) hyperbolic. □

Prime von Neumann Algebras from Simple Groups

Corollary

Let Γ be a group in one of the following classes:

- the integral two-dimensional Cremona group $\text{Aut}_k(k[x, y])$;
- the Higman group
 $\langle a, b, c, d \mid a^b = a^2, b^c = b^2, c^d = c^2, d^a = d^2 \rangle$;
- the Burger-Mozes groups $\mathbb{F}_n *_{\mathbb{F}_k} \mathbb{F}_m$;
- $\text{PSL}_2(k[t])$.

$L(\Gamma)$ is is indefeasible as a tensor product.

Proof.

All are AFP groups as required and are simple or (acylindrically) hyperbolic. □

First known examples where simplicity of Γ implies structural properties for $L(\Gamma)$.

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sucpikarnon 17)

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_{\Sigma} \Gamma_2$ where

1. Γ_i , are icc,
2. $[\Gamma_1 : \Sigma] \geq 2$ and $[\Gamma_2 : \Sigma] \geq 3$,
3. $L(\Sigma)$ is solid, e.g. hyperbolic icc.

Theorem (Chifan-dS-Sucupikarnon 17)

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_{\Sigma} \Gamma_2$ where

1. Γ_i , are icc,
2. $[\Gamma_1 : \Sigma] \geq 2$ and $[\Gamma_2 : \Sigma] \geq 3$,
3. $L(\Sigma)$ is solid, e.g. hyperbolic icc.

If $L(\Gamma) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, then

- ▶ $\Gamma_i = \Lambda_i \times \Omega$,
- ▶ $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \times \Omega$,
- ▶ $P_1^t \cong L(\Omega)$, and $P_2^{1/t} \cong L(\Lambda_1 *_{\Sigma_0} \Lambda_2)$.

Proof.

Note:

If $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_{\Sigma} \Gamma_2 = \Omega \times \Theta$ then

- ▶ $\Gamma_i = \Lambda_i \times \Omega$,
- ▶ $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \times \Omega$,
- ▶ $\Theta = \Lambda_1 *_{\Sigma_0} \Lambda_2$



AFP Product Rigidity (Cont)

Need to show $L(\Gamma_1 *_\Sigma \Gamma_2) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$ implies $\Gamma = \Omega \times \Theta$.

- ▶ WLOG $P_1 \preceq L(\Sigma)$ up to finite index (Ioana 12, Vaes 12).

Need to show $L(\Gamma_1 *_\Sigma \Gamma_2) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$ implies $\Gamma = \Omega \times \Theta$.

- ▶ WLOG $P_1 \preceq L(\Sigma)$ up to finite index (Ioana 12, Vaes 12).
- ▶ Identify P_2 with $VC_\Gamma(\Sigma)$.
- ▶ Run previous product rigidity arguments to induce direct product.

Corollary

*Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_v, v \in \mathcal{V})$ be a graph product of icc hyperbolic groups.
If $L(\Gamma) = P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$, then $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{V}_2$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$, where
 $\Gamma_i = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_v, v \in \mathcal{V}_i)$.*

L^2 Betti numbers

$\mathbb{F}_n \neq \Lambda_1 \vee \Lambda_2$ whenever $\Lambda_i < \mathbb{F}_n$ with property (T) and $|\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2| = \infty$.

L^2 Betti numbers

$\mathbb{F}_n \neq \Lambda_1 \vee \Lambda_2$ whenever $\Lambda_i < \mathbb{F}_n$ with property (T) and $|\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2| = \infty$.

Proof: Analysis of cycles and representation theory of Γ .

$\mathbb{F}_n \neq \Lambda_1 \vee \Lambda_2$ whenever $\Lambda_i < \mathbb{F}_n$ with property (T) and $|\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2| = \infty$.

Proof: Analysis of cycles and representation theory of Γ .

Deformation/rigidity “imports” group-theoretic aspects into the algebra.

Theorem (dS-B. Hayes-D. Hoff-T. Sinclair 18)

If Γ is an icc group with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) \neq 0$, then $L(\Gamma) \neq P_1 \vee P_2$ whenever $P_1 \subset L(\Gamma)$ with P_i irreducible, have prop (T), and $P_1 \cap P_2$ diffuse.

$\mathbb{F}_n \neq \Lambda_1 \vee \Lambda_2$ whenever $\Lambda_i < \mathbb{F}_n$ with property (T) and $|\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2| = \infty$.

Proof: Analysis of cycles and representation theory of Γ .

Deformation/rigidity “imports” group-theoretic aspects into the algebra.

Theorem (dS-B. Hayes-D. Hoff-T. Sinclair 18)

If Γ is an icc group with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) \neq 0$, then $L(\Gamma) \neq P_1 \vee P_2$ whenever $P_1 \subset L(\Gamma)$ with P_i are irreducible, have prop (T), and $P_1 \cap P_2$ diffuse.

Proof: Next time at ECOAS 18

$\mathbb{F}_n \neq \Lambda_1 \vee \Lambda_2$ whenever $\Lambda_i < \mathbb{F}_n$ with property (T) and $|\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2| = \infty$.

Proof: Analysis of cycles and representation theory of Γ .

Deformation/rigidity “imports” group-theoretic aspects into the algebra.

Theorem (dS-B. Hayes-D. Hoff-T. Sinclair 18)

If Γ is an icc group with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) \neq 0$, then $L(\Gamma) \neq P_1 \vee P_2$ whenever $P_1 \subset L(\Gamma)$ with P_i are irreducible, have prop (T), and $P_1 \cap P_2$ diffuse.

Proof: Next time at ECOAS 18 (same Bat time, Same Bat Channel).

Analysis of s-malleable deformation, $L(\Gamma) - L(\Gamma)$ bimodules, and

$\mathbb{F}_n \neq \Lambda_1 \vee \Lambda_2$ whenever $\Lambda_i < \mathbb{F}_n$ with property (T) and $|\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2| = \infty$.

Proof: Analysis of cycles and representation theory of Γ .

Deformation/rigidity “imports” group-theoretic aspects into the algebra.

Theorem (dS-B. Hayes-D. Hoff-T. Sinclair 18)

If Γ is an icc group with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) \neq 0$, then $L(\Gamma) \neq P_1 \vee P_2$ whenever $P_1 \subset L(\Gamma)$ with P_i are irreducible, have prop (T), and $P_1 \cap P_2$ diffuse.

Proof: Next time at ECOAS 18 (same Bat time, Same Bat Channel).

Analysis of s -malleable deformation, $L(\Gamma) - L(\Gamma)$ bimodules, and pineapples

$\mathbb{F}_n \neq \Lambda_1 \vee \Lambda_2$ whenever $\Lambda_i < \mathbb{F}_n$ with property (T) and $|\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2| = \infty$.

Proof: Analysis of cycles and representation theory of Γ .

Deformation/rigidity “imports” group-theoretic aspects into the algebra.

Theorem (dS-B. Hayes-D. Hoff-T. Sinclair 18)

If Γ is an icc group with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) \neq 0$, then $L(\Gamma) \neq P_1 \vee P_2$ whenever $P_1 \subset L(\Gamma)$ with P_i are irreducible, have prop (T), and $P_1 \cap P_2$ diffuse.

Proof: Next time at ECOAS 18 (same Bat time, Same Bat Channel).

Analysis of s -malleable deformation, $L(\Gamma) - L(\Gamma)$ bimodules, and pineapples (soles/Pinsker factors/poles of rigidity).

Thanks!

Tensor decompositions
of \mathbb{H}_1 factors arising
from AFP Groups

R. de Santiago

Introduction

Motivation Results

Product Rigidity

Classification of Tensor
Decomposition

New Directions