# Relative Entropy in CFT (Based on a joint paper with R. Longo arxiv 1712.07283)

Feng Xu

Dept of Math

UCR



# 1 Motivation and Main Results



MOTIVATION AND MAIN RESULTS
 ENTROPY AND RELATIVE ENTROPY

- **1** MOTIVATION AND MAIN RESULTS
- **2** ENTROPY AND RELATIVE ENTROPY
- **3** GRADED NETS AND SUBNETS

- **1** MOTIVATION AND MAIN RESULTS
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS

- **1** MOTIVATION AND MAIN RESULTS
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- 4 MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- **(5)** Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne

- **1** Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- 4 MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 5 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 STRUCTURE OF SINGULARITIES IN THE FINITE INDEX CASE

- **1** Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** GRADED NETS AND SUBNETS
- 4 MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 5 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 Structure of singularities in the finite index case
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBAL

- 1 Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** GRADED NETS AND SUBNETS
- 4 MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 5 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 STRUCTURE OF SINGULARITIES IN THE FINITE INDEX CASE
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBAL
  - What is wrong with formal manipulations

- 1 Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 5 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 STRUCTURE OF SINGULARITIES IN THE FINITE INDEX CASE
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBAL
  - What is wrong with formal manipulations
- 8 Computation of limit of relative entropy and its i

- 1 Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- 4 MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 5 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 Structure of singularities in the finite index case
- 7 Failure of duality is related to nontrivial global
  - What is wrong with formal manipulations
- 8 Computation of limit of relative entropy and its i
  - Basic idea from Kosaki's formula

- **1** Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- 4 MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 5 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 Structure of singularities in the finite index case
- 7 Failure of duality is related to nontrivial global
  - What is wrong with formal manipulations
- 8 Computation of limit of relative entropy and its i
  - Basic idea from Kosaki's formula
  - The proof

- **1** Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- 4 MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 5 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 Structure of singularities in the finite index case
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBAL
  - What is wrong with formal manipulations
- 8 Computation of limit of relative entropy and its i
  - Basic idea from Kosaki's formula
  - The proof
- More Examples

Feng Xu (UCR)

- **1** MOTIVATION AND MAIN RESULTS
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 5 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 Structure of singularities in the finite index case
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBALWhat is wrong with formal manipulations
- COMPUTATION OF LIMIT OF RELATIVE ENTROPY AND ITS I
   Basic idea from Kosaki's formula
  - The proof
- 9 More Examples

# MOTIVATION

### MOTIVATION

In the last few years there has been an enormous amount of work by physicists concerning entanglement entropies in QFT, motivated by the connections with condensed matter physics, black holes, etc.; However, some very basic mathematical questions remain open. For example, most of the entropies computed in the physics literature are infinite, so the singularity structures, and sometimes the cut off independent quantities, are of most interest. Often, the mutual information is argued to be finite based on heuristic physical arguments, and one can derive the singularities of the entropies from the mutual information by taking singular limits. But it is not even clear that such mutual information, which is well defined as a special case of Araki's relative entropy, is indeed finite. We begin to address some of these fundamental mathematical questions

We begin to address some of these fundamental mathematical questions motivated by the physicists' work on entropy.

# MOTIVATION AND MAIN RESULTS

### MAIN RESULTS

Unlike the main focus in recent work such as by Hollands and Sanders, the relative entropy, in particular mutual information considered in our paper can be computed explicitly in many cases and satisfies many conditions, but not all, proposed by physicists such as those considered by Casini and Huerta. Our work is strongly motivated by Edward Witten's questions, in particular his question to make physicists' entropy computations rigorous. In this talk we focus on the Chiral CFT in two dimensions, where the results we have obtained are most explicit and have interesting connections to subfactor theory, even though some of our results do not depend on conformal symmetries and apply to more general QFT. The main results are:

1) Exact computation of the mutual information (through the relative entropy as defined by Araki for general states on von Neumann algebras) for free fermions.

Feng Xu (UCR)

# MOTIVATION AND MAIN RESULTS

### MAIN RESULTS

Note that this was not even known to be finite, for example the main quantity defined by Hollands and Sanders is smaller. Our proof uses Lieb's convexity and the theory of singular integrals; to the best of our knowledge, this and related cases are the first time that such relative entropies are computed in a mathematical rigorous way. The results verify earlier computations by physicists based on heuristic arguments, such as P. Calabrese and J. Cardy and H. Casini and M. Huerta.

In particular, for the free chiral net  $A_r$  associated with r fermions, and two intervals  $A = (a_1, b_1)$ ,  $B = (a_2, b_2)$  of the real line, where  $b_1 < a_2$ , the mutual information associated with A, B is

$$F(A,B) = -\frac{r}{6}\log\eta ,$$

where  $\eta = \frac{(b_1 - a_2)(b_2 - a_1)}{(b_1 - a_1)(b_2 - a_2)}$  is the cross ratio of  $A, B, 0 < \eta < 1$ . Feng Xu (UCR)
Relative Entropy in CFT

# MOTIVATION AND MAIN RESULTS

### MAIN RESULTS

2) It follows from 1) and the monotonicity of the relative entropy that any chiral CFT in two dimensions that embeds into free fermions, and their finite index extensions, verify most of the conditions (not all) discussed for example by Casini and Huerta. This includes a large family of chiral CFTs. Much more can be obtained if the embedding has finite index. In this case, we also verify a proposal of Casini and Huerta about an entropy formula related to a derivation of the c theorem. Our theorem also connects relative entropy and index of subfactors in an interesting and unexpected way. There is one bit of surprise: it is usually postulated that the mutual information of a pure state such as vacuum state for complementary regions should be the same. But in the Chiral case this is not true, and the violation is measured by global dimension of the chiral CFT. The physical meaning of the last part of (2) is not clear to us.

### MAIN RESULTS

The violation, which is in some sense proportional to the logarithm of global index, also turns out to be what is called topological entanglement entropy . Iqbal and Wall discuss chiral theories where entanglement entropy cannot be defined with the expected properties due to anomalies. The relation to our work is not clear.

- **1** Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- 3 GRADED NETS AND SUBNETS
- 4 MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 6 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 STRUCTURE OF SINGULARITIES IN THE FINITE INDEX CASE
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBALWhat is wrong with formal manipulations
- COMPUTATION OF LIMIT OF RELATIVE ENTROPY AND ITS I
   Basic idea from Kosaki's formula
  - The proof
- 9 More Examples

#### ENTROPY AND RELATIVE ENTROPY

von Neumann entropy is the quantity associated with a density matrix  $\rho$  on a Hilbert space  ${\cal H}$  by

 $S(\rho) = -\operatorname{Tr}(\rho \log \rho)$ .

von Neumann entropy can be viewed as a measure of the lack of information about a system to which one has ascribed the state. This interpretation is in accord for instance with the facts that  $S(\rho) \ge 0$  and that a pure state  $\rho = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$  has vanishing von Neumann entropy. A related notion is that of the relative entropy. It is defined for two density matrices  $\rho, \rho'$  by

$$S(\rho, \rho') = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho \log \rho - \rho \log \rho') . \tag{1}$$

Like  $S(\rho)$ ,  $S(\rho, \rho')$  is non-negative, and can be infinite.

## ENTROPY AND RELATIVE ENTROPY

#### ENTROPY AND RELATIVE ENTROPY

A generalization of the relative entropy in the context of von Neumann algebras of arbitrary type was found by Araki and is formulated using modular theory. Given two faithful, normal states  $\omega, \omega'$  on a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  in standard form, we choose the vector representatives in the natural cone  $\mathcal{P}^{\sharp}$ , called  $|\Omega\rangle, |\Omega'\rangle$ . The anti-linear opeartor  $S_{\omega,\omega'}a|\Omega'\rangle = a^*|\Omega\rangle$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , is closable and one considers again the polar decomposition of its closure  $\bar{S}_{\omega,\omega'} = J\Delta^{1/2}_{\omega,\omega'}$ . Here J is the modular conjugation of  $\mathcal{A}$  associated with  $\mathcal{P}^{\sharp}$  and  $\Delta_{\omega,\omega'} = S^*_{\omega,\omega'}\bar{S}_{\omega,\omega'}$  is the relative modular operator w.r.t.  $|\Omega\rangle, |\Omega'\rangle$ . Of course, if  $\omega = \omega'$  then  $\Delta_{\omega} = \Delta_{\omega,\omega'}$  is the usual modular operator or modular Hamiltonian in physics literature.

The relative entropy w.r.t.  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  is defined by

$$S(\omega,\omega')=\langle \Omega | \log \Delta_{\omega,\omega'} | \Omega 
angle = \lim_{t o 0} rac{\omega([D\omega:D\omega']_t-1)}{it}$$

S is extended to positive linear functionals that are not necessarily normalized by the formula  $S(\lambda\omega, \lambda'\omega') = \lambda S(\omega, \omega') + \lambda \log(\lambda/\lambda')$ , where  $\lambda, \lambda' > 0$  and  $\omega, \omega'$  are normalized. If  $\omega'$  is not normal, then one sets  $S(\omega, \omega') = \infty$ .

For a type I algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , states  $\omega, \omega'$  correspond to density matrices  $\rho, \rho'$ . The square root of the relative modular operator  $\Delta^{1/2}_{\omega,\omega'}$  corresponds to  $\rho^{1/2} \otimes \rho'^{-1/2}$  in the standard representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}$ ; namely  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}$  is identified with the Hiilbert-Schmidt operators  $HS(\mathcal{H})$  with the left/right multiplication of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'$ . In this representation,  $\omega$  corresponds to the vector state  $|\Omega\rangle = \rho^{1/2} \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}$ , and the abstract definition of the relative entropy becomes

$$\langle \Omega | \log \Delta_{\omega,\omega'} \Omega \rangle = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \log \rho \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \log \rho' \right) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left( \rho \log \rho - \rho \log \rho' \right).$$

$$(2)$$

As another example, let us consider a bi-partite system with Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$  and observable algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ . A normal state  $\omega_{AB}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponds to a density matrix  $\rho_{AB}$ . One calls  $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_B}\rho_{AB}$  the "reduced density matrix", which defines a state  $\omega_A$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$  (and similarly for system B). The mutual information is given in our example system by

$$S(\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \rho_B) = S(\rho_A) + S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{AB}) .$$
(3)

For tri-partite system with Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$  and observable algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_B) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_C)$ , we have the following strong subadditivity :

$$S(\rho_{AB}) + S(\rho_{AC}) - S(\rho_A) - S(\rho_{ABC}) \ge 0.$$
(4)

# KOSAKI'S FORMULA

In general it is desirable to have a formula for  $S(\omega, \omega')$  directly in terms of states. This is provided by Kosaki:

$$S(\omega,\omega') = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\sup_{x_t+y_t=1}\left(\mathit{Inm} - \int_{m^{-1}}^{\infty}\left(\omega(x_t^*x_t)\frac{1}{t} + \omega'(y_ty_t^*)\frac{1}{t^2}
ight)dt
ight) \;,$$

where  $x_t$  is a step function valued in M which is equal to 0 when t is sufficiently large. Many properties of relative entropies follow easily from Kosaki's formula. For an example: Let  $\omega$  and  $\phi$  be two normal states on a von Neumann algebra M, and denote by  $\omega_1$  and  $\phi_1$  the restrictions of  $\omega$ and  $\phi$  to a von Neumann subalgebra  $M_1 \subset M$  respectively. Then  $S(\omega_1, \phi_1) \leq S(\omega, \phi)$ . As another example: Let be  $M_i$  an increasing net of von Neumann subalgebras of M with the property  $(\bigcup_i M_i)'' = M$ . Then  $S(\omega_1 \upharpoonright M_i, \omega_2 \upharpoonright M_i)$  converges to  $S(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  where  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  are two normal states on M;

Feng Xu (UCR)

Finally Let  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$  be two normal states on a von Neumann algebra M. If  $\omega_1 \ge \mu \omega$ , then  $S(\omega, \omega_1) \le \ln \mu^{-1}$ ; Here is a property of relative entropies that does not follow directly from Kosaki's formula: Let M be a von Neumann algebra and  $M_1$  a von Neumann subalgebra of M. Assume that there exists a faithful normal conditional expectation E of Monto  $M_1$ . If  $\psi$ and  $\omega$  are states of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively, then  $S(\omega, \psi \cdot E) = S(\omega \upharpoonright M_1, \psi) + S(\omega, \omega \cdot E)$ ; For type III factors, the von Neumann entropy is always infinite, but we shall see that in many cases mutual information is finite. By taking singular limits, we can also explore the singularities of von Neumann entropy from mutual information which is important from physicists' point of view. The formal properties of von Neumann entropies are useful in proving properties of mutual information.

- 1 Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 5 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 STRUCTURE OF SINGULARITIES IN THE FINITE INDEX CASE
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBALWhat is wrong with formal manipulations
- COMPUTATION OF LIMIT OF RELATIVE ENTROPY AND ITS I
   Basic idea from Kosaki's formula
  - The proof
- 9 More Examples

#### GRADED NETS AND SUBNETS

We shall denote by Möb the Möbius group, which is isomorphic to  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}_2$  and acts naturally and faithfully on the circle  $S^1$ . By an interval of  $S^1$  we mean, as usual, a non-empty, non-dense, open, connected subset of  $S^1$  and we denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the set of all intervals. If  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , then also  $I' \in \mathcal{I}$  where I' is the interior of the complement of I. Intervals are disjoint if their closure are disjoint. We will denote by  $\mathcal{PI}$  the set which consists of disjoint union of intervals.

# Möbius covariant net

This is an adaption of DHR analysis to chiral CFT which is most suitable for our purposes.

By an *interval* we shall always mean an open connected subset I of  $S^1$  such that I and the interior I' of its complement are non-empty. We shall denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the set of intervals in  $S^1$ .

A *Möbius covariant* net  $\mathcal{A}$  of von Neumann algebras on the intervals of  $S^1$  is a map

$$I 
ightarrow \mathcal{A}(I)$$

from  ${\mathcal I}$  to the von Neumann algebras on a Hilbert space  ${\mathcal H}$  that verifies the following:

# DEFINITION (MÖBIUS COVARIANT NET )

A. Isotony;

- A. Isotony;
- B. Möbius covariance;

- A. Isotony;
- B. Möbius covariance;
- **C.** Positivity of the energy;

- A. Isotony;
- B. Möbius covariance;
- **C.** Positivity of the energy;
- D. Locality;

- A. Isotony;
- B. Möbius covariance;
- **C.** Positivity of the energy;
- D. Locality;
- E. Existence of the vacuum;
# MÖBIUS COVARIANT

## DEFINITION (MÖBIUS COVARIANT NET )

- A. Isotony;
- B. Möbius covariance;
- **C.** Positivity of the energy;
- D. Locality;
- E. Existence of the vacuum;
- F. Uniqueness of the vacuum (or irreducibility);

# MÖBIUS COVARIANT

## DEFINITION (MÖBIUS COVARIANT NET )

- A. Isotony;
- B. Möbius covariance;
- **C.** Positivity of the energy;
- D. Locality;
- E. Existence of the vacuum;
- F. Uniqueness of the vacuum (or irreducibility);
- G. Conformal covariance.

# **A.** ISOTONY

If  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  are intervals and  $I_1 \subset I_2$ , then

 $\mathcal{A}(I_1)\subset \mathcal{A}(I_2)$  .

## **A.** ISOTONY

If  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  are intervals and  $I_1 \subset I_2$ , then

$$\mathcal{A}(I_1) \subset \mathcal{A}(I_2)$$
.

#### **B.** MÖBIUS COVARIANCE

There is a nontrivial unitary representation U of **G** (the universal covering group of  $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$ ) on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

 $U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^*=\mathcal{A}(gI)\,,\qquad g\in \mathbf{G},\quad I\in\mathcal{I}\,.$ 

The group  $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$  is identified with the Möbius group of  $S^1$ , i.e. the group of conformal transformations on the complex plane that preserve the orientation and leave the unit circle globally invariant. Therefore **G** has a natural action on  $S^1$ .

#### **C.** Positivity of the energy

The generator of the rotation subgroup  $U(R)(\cdot)$  is positive. Here  $R(\vartheta)$  denotes the (lifting to **G** of the) rotation by an angle  $\vartheta$ .

# **C.** Positivity of the energy

The generator of the rotation subgroup  $U(R)(\cdot)$  is positive. Here  $R(\vartheta)$  denotes the (lifting to **G** of the) rotation by an angle  $\vartheta$ .

## **D.** GRADED LOCALITY

There exists a grading automorphism  $\mathbf{g}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that, if  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are disjoint intervals,

$$[x,y]=0, \quad x\in \mathcal{A}(I_1), y\in \mathcal{A}(I_2) \;.$$

Here [x, y] is the graded commutator with respect to the grading automorphism **g**.

# **C.** POSITIVITY OF THE ENERGY

The generator of the rotation subgroup  $U(R)(\cdot)$  is positive. Here  $R(\vartheta)$  denotes the (lifting to **G** of the) rotation by an angle  $\vartheta$ .

# **D.** GRADED LOCALITY

There exists a grading automorphism  $\mathbf{g}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that, if  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are disjoint intervals,

$$[x,y]=0, \quad x\in \mathcal{A}(I_1), y\in \mathcal{A}(I_2) \;.$$

Here [x, y] is the graded commutator with respect to the grading automorphism **g**.

#### **E.** EXISTENCE OF THE VACUUM

There exists a unit vector  $\Omega$  (vacuum vector) which is  $U(\mathbf{G})$ -invariant and cyclic for  $\forall_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}(I)$ .

# **C.** Positivity of the energy

The generator of the rotation subgroup  $U(R)(\cdot)$  is positive. Here  $R(\vartheta)$  denotes the (lifting to **G** of the) rotation by an angle  $\vartheta$ .

# **D.** GRADED LOCALITY

There exists a grading automorphism  $\mathbf{g}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that, if  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are disjoint intervals,

$$[x,y]=0, \quad x\in \mathcal{A}(I_1), y\in \mathcal{A}(I_2) \;.$$

Here [x, y] is the graded commutator with respect to the grading automorphism **g**.

#### **E.** EXISTENCE OF THE VACUUM

There exists a unit vector  $\Omega$  (vacuum vector) which is  $U(\mathbf{G})$ -invariant and cyclic for  $\forall_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}(I)$ .

# **F.** UNIQUENESS OF THE VACUUM (OR IRREDUCIBILITY)

Feng Xu (UCR)

Relative Entropy in CFT

By a *conformal net* (or diffeomorphism covariant net) A we shall mean a Möbius covariant net such that the following holds:

**G.** Conformal covariance There exists a projective unitary representation U of  $Diff(S^1)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  extending the unitary representation of **G** such that for all  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we have

$$egin{array}{rcl} U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^* &=& \mathcal{A}(gI), & g\in Diff(S^1), \ U(g)xU(g)^* &=& x, & x\in \mathcal{A}(I), & g\in Diff(I'), \end{array}$$

where  $Diff(S^1)$  denotes the group of smooth, positively oriented diffeomorphism of  $S^1$  and Diff(I) the subgroup of diffeomorphisms g such that g(z) = z for all  $z \in I'$ .

Moreover, setting

$$Z\equiv\frac{1-i\Gamma}{1-i}\;,$$

we have that the unitary Z fixes  $\Omega$  and

$$\mathcal{A}(I') \subset Z\mathcal{A}(I)'Z^*$$

(twisted locality w.r.t. Z).

Moreover, setting

$$Z \equiv \frac{1-i\Gamma}{1-i} \; ,$$

we have that the unitary Z fixes  $\Omega$  and

$$\mathcal{A}(I') \subset Z\mathcal{A}(I)'Z^*$$

(twisted locality w.r.t. Z).

#### Theorem 1

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Möbius covariant Fermi net on  $S^1$ . Then  $\Omega$  is cyclic and separating for each von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}(I)$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .

If  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , we shall denote by  $\Lambda_I$  the one parameter subgroup of Möb of "dilation associated with I".

If  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , we shall denote by  $\Lambda_I$  the one parameter subgroup of Möb of "dilation associated with I".

#### THEOREM 2

Let  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\Delta_I$ ,  $J_I$  be the modular operator and the modular conjugation of  $(\mathcal{A}(I), \Omega)$ . Then we have: (i):

$$\Delta_l^{it} = U(\Lambda_l(-2\pi t)), \ t \in \mathbb{R},$$
(5)

(ii): U extends to an (anti-)unitary representation of  $M\ddot{o}b \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  determined by

$$U(r_I) = ZJ_I, I \in \mathcal{I},$$

acting covariantly on A, namely

 $U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^* = \mathcal{A}(\dot{g}I) \quad g \in \mathsf{M\"ob} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \ I \in \mathcal{I} \ .$ 

Here  $r_I : S^1 \to S^1$  is the reflection mapping I onto I'.

Part (1) of the above theorem says that the modular Hamiltonian is the boost generator, or as mathematicians would say that the modular automorphism group is geometric, and plays an important role in recent work on entropies in physics literature.

Feng Xu (UCR)

#### COROLLARY 3

(Additivity) Let I and  $I_i$  be intervals with  $I \subset \bigcup_i I_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(I) \subset \bigvee_i \mathcal{A}(I_i)$ .

#### COROLLARY 3

(Additivity) Let I and  $I_i$  be intervals with  $I \subset \cup_i I_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(I) \subset \vee_i \mathcal{A}(I_i)$ .

#### Theorem 4

For every  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have:

$$\mathcal{A}(I') = Z\mathcal{A}(I)'Z^*$$
.

Let now *G* be a simply connected compact Lie group. Then the vacuum positive energy representation of the loop group *LG* at level *k* gives rise to an irreducible local net denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_{G_k}$ . Every irreducible positive energy representation of the loop group *LG* at level *k* gives rise to an irreducible covariant representation of  $\mathcal{A}_{G_k}$ . When no confusion arises we will write  $\mathcal{A}_{G_k}$  simply as  $G_k$ . These CFT are what is also called Wess-Zumino-Witten CFT with gauge group *G* and are important building blocks of rational CFT.

#### MÖBIUS COVARIANT representation

Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Möbius covariant net. A Möbius covariant *representation*  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a family of representations  $\pi_I$  of the von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{A}(I)$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}$  and a unitary representation  $U_{\pi}$  of the covering group **G** of  $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$ , with *positive energy*, i.e. the generator of the rotation unitary subgroup has positive generator, such that the following properties hold:

$$I \supset \overline{I} \Rightarrow \pi_{\overline{I}} \mid_{\mathcal{A}(I)} = \pi_{I} \quad \text{(isotony)}$$
  
ad  $U_{\pi}(g) \cdot \pi_{I} = \pi_{gI} \cdot \text{ad} U(g)(\text{covariance})$ .

A unitary equivalence class of Möbius covariant representations of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *superselection sector*.

#### CONNES'S FUSION

The composition of two superselection sectors are known as Connes's fusion . The composition is manifestly unitary and associative, and this is one of the most important virtues of the above formulation. The main question is to study all superselection sectors of  $\mathcal{A}$  and their compositions. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an irreducible conformal net on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and let G be a group. Let  $V : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$  be a faithful unitary representation of G on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $V : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$  is not faithful, we can take G' := G/kerV and consider G' instead.

#### PROPER ACTION

We say that G acts properly on  $\mathcal{A}$  if the following conditions are satisfied: (1) For each fixed interval I and each  $s \in G$ ,  $\alpha_s(a) := V(s)aV(s^*) \in \mathcal{A}(I), \forall a \in \mathcal{A}(I);$ (2) For each  $s \in G$ ,  $V(s)\Omega = \Omega, \forall s \in G$ . We will denote by  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  all automorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  which are implemented by proper actions. Define  $\mathcal{A}^G(I) := \mathcal{B}(I)P_0$  on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is the space of G invariant vectors and  $P_0$  is the projection onto  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . The unitary representation U of **G** on  $\mathcal{H}$  restricts to a unitary representation (still denoted by U) of **G** on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Then :

#### PROPER ACTION

We say that G acts properly on A if the following conditions are satisfied: (1) For each fixed interval I and each  $s \in G$ ,  $\alpha_s(a) := V(s)aV(s^*) \in A(I), \forall a \in A(I);$ (2) For each  $s \in G$ ,  $V(s)\Omega = \Omega, \forall s \in G$ . We will denote by Aut(A) all automorphisms of A which are implemented by proper actions. Define  $\mathcal{A}^G(I) := \mathcal{B}(I)P_0$  on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is the space of G invariant vectors and  $P_0$  is the projection onto  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . The unitary representation U of **G** on  $\mathcal{H}$  restricts to a unitary representation (still denoted by U) of **G** on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Then :

#### PROPOSITION

The map  $I \in \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A}^{G}(I)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{0}$  together with the unitary representation (still denoted by U) of **G** on  $\mathcal{H}_{0}$  is an irreducible conformal net. We say that  $\mathcal{A}^{G}$  is obtained by *orbifold* construction from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# COMPLETE RATIONALITY

By an interval of the circle we mean an open connected proper subset of the circle. If I is such an interval then I' will denote the interior of the complement of I in the circle. We will denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the set of such intervals. Let  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ . We say that  $I_1, I_2$  are disjoint if  $\overline{I_1} \cap \overline{I_2} = \emptyset$ , where  $\overline{I}$  is the closure of I in  $S^1$ .. Denote by  $\mathcal{I}_2$  the set of unions of disjoint 2 elements in  $\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an irreducible conformal net. For  $E = I_1 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$ , let  $I_3 \cup I_4$  be the interior of the complement of  $I_1 \cup I_2$  in  $S^1$  where  $I_3, I_4$  are disjoint intervals. Let

$$\mathcal{A}(E) := \mathcal{A}(I_1) \vee \mathcal{A}(I_3), \hat{\mathcal{A}}(E) := (\mathcal{A}(I_2) \vee \mathcal{A}(I_4))'.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{A}(E) \subset \hat{\mathcal{A}}(E)$ . Recall that a net  $\mathcal{A}$  is *split* if  $\mathcal{A}(I_1) \lor \mathcal{A}(I_2)$  is naturally isomorphic to the tensor product of von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{A}(I_1) \otimes \mathcal{A}(I_2)$  for any disjoint intervals  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is *strongly additive* if  $\mathcal{A}(I_1) \lor \mathcal{A}(I_2) = \mathcal{A}(I)$  where  $I_1 \cup I_2$  is obtained by removing an interior point from I. Relative Entropy in CFT 32 / 102



#### DEFINITION

 $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be completely rational, or  $\mu$ -rational, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is split, strongly additive, and the index  $[\hat{\mathcal{A}}(E) : \mathcal{A}(E)]$  is finite for some  $E \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . The value of the index  $[\hat{\mathcal{A}}(E) : \mathcal{A}(E)]$ is denoted by  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$  and is called the  $\mu$ -index of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is holomorphic if  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}} = 1$ . log  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$  is also known as *Topological Entanglement Entropy* by Kitaev and Preskill.

#### DEFINITION

 $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be completely rational, or  $\mu$ -rational, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is split, strongly additive, and the index  $[\hat{\mathcal{A}}(E) : \mathcal{A}(E)]$  is finite for some  $E \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . The value of the index  $[\hat{\mathcal{A}}(E) : \mathcal{A}(E)]$ is denoted by  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$  and is called the  $\mu$ -index of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is holomorphic if  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}} = 1$ . log  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$  is also known as *Topological Entanglement Entropy* by Kitaev and Preskill.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an irreducible conformal net and let G be a finite group acting properly on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is completely rational. Then: (1):  $\mathcal{A}^{G}$  is completely rational and  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}^{G}} = |G|^{2}\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ ; (2): There are only a finite number of irreducible covariant representations of  $\mathcal{A}^{G}$  and they give rise to a unitary modular category.

#### AN APPLICATIONS TO TWISTED REPRESENTATIONS

First by KLM  $\mu_A = \sum_i d_i^2$  while the sum is over all irreducible reps *i* of A, and  $d_i^2$  is the Jones index or square of quantum dimension. The formula is similar to  $|G| = \sum_i (\dim i)^2$  which is classical Frobenius formula. From the theorem about orbifold we get that  $\mu_{A^G} = \mu_A |G|^2 = \sum_i d_i^2$ , where the sum is now over irreducible reps of  $A^G$ , but if we restrict the sum to be over the set of *non-twisted* representations of *G*, we get that such sum is bounded by  $\mu_A |G|$ , and since  $\mu_A |G|^2 > \mu_A |G|$  if *G* is nontrivial, we have proved that twisted representation always exists.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a graded Möbius net. By a *Möbius subnet* we shall mean a map

 $I \in \mathcal{I} 
ightarrow \mathcal{B}(I) \subset \mathcal{A}(I)$ 

that associates to each interval  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  a von Neumann subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  of  $\mathcal{A}(I)$ , which is isotonic

$$\mathcal{B}(I_1) \subset \mathcal{A}(I_2), I_1 \subset I_2,$$

and Möbius covariant with respect to the representation U, namely

$$U(g)\mathcal{B}(I)U(g)^* = \mathcal{B}(gI)$$

for all  $g \in M$ öb and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , and we also require that  $Ad\Gamma$  preserves  $\mathcal{B}$  as a set.

# The case when $\mathcal{B}\subset\mathcal{A}$ has finite index will be most interesting. For an example we have

The case when  $\mathcal{B}\subset\mathcal{A}$  has finite index will be most interesting. For an example we have

#### LEMMA 5

If  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is a Möbius subnet such that  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$  is finite and  $[\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{B}] < \infty$ . Then  $\mu_{\mathcal{B}} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}[\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{B}]^2$ .

# OUTLINE

- 1 Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** GRADED NETS AND SUBNETS
- MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 6 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 Structure of singularities in the finite index case
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBALWhat is wrong with formal manipulations
- COMPUTATION OF LIMIT OF RELATIVE ENTROPY AND ITS I
   Basic idea from Kosaki's formula
  - The proof
- 9 More Examples

#### MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS

Let H denote the Hilbert space  $L^2(S^1; \mathbb{C}^r)$  of square-summable  $\mathbb{C}^r$ -valued functions on the circle. The group  $LU_r$  of smooth maps  $S^1 \to U_r$ , with  $U_r$  the unitary group on  $\mathbb{C}^r$ , acts on H multiplication operators. Let us decompose  $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$ , where

 $H_+ = \{$ functions whose negative Fourier coefficients vanish $\}$ .

We denote by p the Hardy projection from H onto  $H_+$ . Denote by  $U_{res}(H)$  the group consisting of unitary operator A on H such that the commutator [p, A] is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Denote by  $\operatorname{Diff}^+(S^1)$  the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphism of the circle. It follows that  $LU_r$  and  $\operatorname{Diff}^+(S^1)$  are subgroups of  $U_{res}(H)$ . The basic representation of  $LU_r$  is the representation on Fermionic Fock space  $F_p = \Lambda(pH) \otimes \Lambda((1-p)H)^*$ . Such a representation gives rise to a graded net as follows. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_r(I)$  the von Neumann algebra generated by  $c(\xi)'s$ , with  $\xi \in L^2(I, \mathbb{C}^r)$ . Here  $c(\xi) = a(\xi) + a(\xi)^*$  and  $a(\xi)$  is the creation operator. Let  $Z: F_p \to F_p$  be the Klein transformation given by multiplication by 1 on even forms and by *i* on odd forms.  $\mathcal{A}_r$  is a graded Möbius covariant net, and  $\mathcal{A}_r$  will be called the *net of r free fermions*.  $\mathcal{A}_r$  is strongly additive and  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_r} = 1$ . Fix  $I_i \in \mathcal{PI}$ , i = 1, 2, and  $I_1, I_2$  disjoint, that is  $\overline{I_1} \cap \overline{I_2} = \emptyset$ , and  $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ . The mutual information we will compute is  $S(\omega, \omega_1 \otimes_2 \omega_2)$ . Here  $\omega_1 \otimes_2$  denotes the restriction of the vacuum state to  $\mathcal{A}_r(I_1) \otimes_2 \mathcal{A}_r(I_2)$  which is a graded tensor product.  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{A}_r(I)$  is quasi-free state as studied by Araki. To describe this state, it is convenient to use Cayley transform V(x) = (x - i)/(x + i), which carries the (one point compactification of the) real line onto the circle and the upper half plane onto the unit disk. It induces a unitary map

$$Uf(x) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x+i)^{-1}f(V(x))$$

of  $L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^r)$  onto  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ . The operator U carries the Hardy space on the circle onto the Hardy space on the real line. We will use the Cayley transform to identify intervals on the circle with one point removed to intervals on the real line.

Under the unitary transformation above, the Hardy projection on  $L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^r)$  is transformed to the Hardy projection on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^r)$  given by :

$$Pf(x)=rac{1}{2}f(x)+\intrac{i}{2\pi}rac{1}{(x-y)}f(y)dy$$

where the singular integral is (proportional to) the Hilbert transform. We write the kernel of the above integral transformation as C:

$$C(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(x-y) - \frac{i}{2\pi}\frac{1}{(x-y)} .$$
 (6)

The quasi free state  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  is determined by

$$\omega\bigl(a(f)^*a(g)\bigr)=\langle g,Pf\rangle.$$

Slightly abusing our notations, we will identify P with its kernel C and simply write

$$\omega(a(f)^*a(g)) = \langle g, Cf \rangle.$$

Feng Xu (UCR)

# Computation of mutual information in finite dimensional case

Choose finite dimensional subspaces  $H_i$  of  $L^2(I_i, \mathbb{C}_r)$ , i = 1, 2, and denote by  $\operatorname{CAR}(H_i) \subset \mathcal{A}(I_i)$  the corresponding finite dimensional factors of dimensions  $2^{2\dim H_i}$  generated by  $a(f), f \in H_i$ . Let  $\rho_{12}, \rho_1, \rho_2$  be the density matrices of the restriction of  $\omega$  to  $\operatorname{CAR}(H_1) \otimes_2 \operatorname{CAR}(H_2)$ ,  $\operatorname{CAR}(H_1)$ ,  $\operatorname{CAR}(H_2)$  respectively, and  $\rho_1 \otimes_2 \rho_2$  of the restriction of  $\omega_1 \otimes_2 \omega_2$  to  $\operatorname{CAR}(H_1) \otimes_2 \operatorname{CAR}(H_2)$ . When working carefully with graded tensor product, we have the analog of (3) in this graded local context:

$$S(
ho_{12},
ho_1\otimes_2
ho_2)=S(
ho_1)+S(
ho_2)-S(
ho_{12})\;.$$

This is the formula for mutual information in type I factor case.
Now we turn to the computation of von Neumann entropy  $S(\rho_1)$ . Let  $\rho_1$  be the projection onto the finite dimensional subspace  $H_1$  of  $L^2(I_1, \mathbb{C}_r)$ .  $\rho_1$  on  $CAR(H_1)$  is quasi free state given by covariance operator  $C_{\rho_1} = \rho_1 C \rho_1$ . According to Araki

$$S(\rho_1) = \operatorname{Tr}((1 - C_{\rho_1}) \log(1 - C_{\rho_1}) + C_{\rho_1} \log C_{\rho_1})$$

Let  $\mathbf{P}_i$  be projections from  $L^2(I, \mathbb{C}^r)$  onto  $L^2(I_i, \mathbb{C}^r)$ , and  $C_i = \mathbf{P}_i C \mathbf{P}_i, i = 1, 2$ . Let

 $\sigma_{C} = \mathbf{P}_{1} (C \log C + (1-C) \log(1-C)) \mathbf{P}_{1} - (C_{1} \log C_{1} + (\mathbf{P}_{1} - C_{1}) \log(\mathbf{P}_{1} - C_{1})) \mathbf{P}_{2} (C \log C + (1-C) \log(1-C)) \mathbf{P}_{2} - (C_{2} \log C_{2} + (\mathbf{P}_{2} - C_{2}) \log(\mathbf{P}_{2} - C_{2}))$ 

and  $\sigma_{C_p}$  be the same as in the definition of  $\sigma_C$  with C replaced by  $C_p = pCp$ , if p is a projection commuting with  $\mathbf{P}_1$ .

Denote by p the projection from  $L^2(I, \mathbb{C}^r)$  onto  $H_1 \oplus H_2$ . We have proved the following

$$S(\rho_{12},\rho_1\otimes_2\rho_2)=\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_{C_p})$$
.

It is clear that  $\sigma_{C_p}$  converges strongly to  $\sigma_C$  as P converges to identity. To compute our mutual information, we like to show that this convergence is actually in trace. Unfortunately this is much harder. Instead we explore additional subtle properties of such operators.

# INEQUALITY FROM OPERATOR CONVEXITY

## INEQUALITY FROM OPERATOR CONVEXITY

### Theorem 6

(1) For all operator convex functions f on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and all orthogonal projections p, we have  $pf(pAp)p \leq pf(A)p$  for every selfadjoint operator A; (2)  $f(t) = t \log(t)$  is operator convex.

(1) of the above Theorem is known as Sherman-Davis Inequality. It in instructive to review the idea of the proof of (1) which is also used later: Consider the selfadjoint unitary operator  $U^p = 2p - I$ ; by operator convexity we have

$$f\left(\frac{1}{2}A+\frac{1}{2}U^pAU^p\right)\leq \frac{1}{2}f(A)+\frac{1}{2}f(U^pAU^p)\;.$$

Now notice that

$$\frac{1}{2}A + \frac{1}{2}U^{p}AU^{p} = A_{p} + A_{1-p}, \quad f(U^{p}AU^{p}) = U^{p}f(A)U^{p},$$

where  $A_p = pAp$ , and the inequality follows.

 $S(\omega, \omega_1 \otimes_2 \omega_2) = \lim_{p \to 1} \operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_{C_p}) \ge \operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_C)$  where  $p \to 1$  strongly. The first identity follows from Martingale property of relative entropy. To prove the inequality, we use the fact that  $x \log x$  is operator convex, and so  $\mathbf{P}_1 C \log C \mathbf{P}_1 \ge C_1 \log C_1$ , and similarly with C replaced by 1 - C. It follows that  $\sigma \ge 0, \sigma_p \ge 0$ . Since  $\sigma_p$  goes to  $\sigma$  strongly as  $p \to 1$  strongly, the inequality follows.

We shall prove later that the inequality in the above Lemma is actually an equality. It would follow if one can show that  $\sigma_{C_p}$  goes to  $\sigma_C$  in tracial norm. This is not so easy, and we note that  $\mathbf{P_1}(C \log C + (1 - C) \log(1 - C))\mathbf{P_1}$  is not trace class. To overcome this difficulty and to compute the mutual information we prove the reverse inequality by applying Lieb's joint convexity and regularized kernel as in the next two sections.

# Reversed inequality from Lieb's joint convexity

We begin with the following Lieb's Concavity Theorem:

### Reversed inequality from Lieb's joint convexity

We begin with the following Lieb's Concavity Theorem:

## Theorem 7

(1) For all  $m \times n$  matrices K, and all  $0 \le t \le 1$ , the real valued map given by  $(A, B) \to \text{Tr}(K^*A^{1-t}KB)$  is concave where A, B are non-negative  $m \times m$  and  $n \times n$  matrices respectively; (2) If  $A \ge 0, B \ge 0$  and K is trace class, then

$$(A,B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(K^*A^{1-t}KB), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

is jointly concave; (3) If  $A \ge \epsilon I, B \ge \epsilon I, \epsilon > 0$  and K is trace class, then

$$(A, B) \to \operatorname{Tr}(K^*A \log AK - K^*AK \log B)$$

is jointly convex;

To prove (3), we note that

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{K}^*A \log A\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}^*A\mathcal{K} \log B) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{K}^*A^{1-t}\mathcal{K}B) - \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{K}^*A\mathcal{K})}{t-1}$$
  
nd (3) follows from (2).

а



#### THEOREM 8

Let  $A \ge \epsilon, \epsilon > 0, B := \mathbf{P_1}A\mathbf{P_1} + \mathbf{P_2}A\mathbf{P_2}$ , where  $\mathbf{P_1}$  is a projection,  $\mathbf{P_1} + \mathbf{P_2} = 1$ , and p is a finite rank projection commuting with  $\mathbf{P_1}$ . Assume that A - B is trace class. Then

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A(\log A - \log B)) \geq \operatorname{Tr}(A_p(\log A_p - \log B_p))$$
.

The idea of the proof is to apply Lieb's joint convexity to A, B and unitary  $U^p = 2P - I$ , with  $f(A, B, K) = \text{Tr}(K^*A \log AK - K^*AK \log B)$ , K is a finite rank projection, and then let K goes to identity strongly. The assumption that A, B are strictly positive and A - B is trace class plays key role in the proof.

#### REGULARIZED KERNEL FOR ONE FREE FERMION CASE

Unfortunately we can not apply the above theorem directly since the covariance operator C is not strictly positive. We will suitably regularize C. To do explicit computation we also need explicit formula for the kernel of the resolvent of C. This is related to Riemann-Hilbert problem.

If  $I = (a_1, b_1) \cup (a_2, b_2) \cup ... \cup (a_n, b_n)$  in increasing order, define

$$G(I) := rac{1}{6} \left( \sum_{i,j} \log |b_i - a_j| - \sum_{i < j} \log |a_i - a_j| - \sum_{i < j} \log |b_i - b_j| 
ight)$$

.

If 
$$I = (a_1, b_1) \cup (a_2, b_2) \cup ... \cup (a_n, b_n)$$
 in increasing order, define

$$G(I) := rac{1}{6} \left( \sum_{i,j} \log |b_i - a_j| - \sum_{i < j} \log |a_i - a_j| - \sum_{i < j} \log |b_i - b_j| \right)$$

# THEOREM 10

Let 
$$I = (a_1, b_1) \cup (a_2, b_2) \cup ... \cup (a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{PI}$$
 and  $I_1 \cup I_2 = I, \overline{I_1} \cap \overline{I_2} = \emptyset$ .  
Then
$$S_{\mathcal{A}_r}(\omega, \omega_1 \otimes_2 \omega_2) = r(G(I_1) + G(I_2) - G(I_1 \cup I_2)).$$

.

## Theorem 12

Assume that a subnet  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_r$  has finite index, then: (1):  $G_{\mathcal{B}}((a, b)) = \frac{r}{6} \log |b - a|$  and verifies equation (3) of Th. 11, and

$$F_{\mathcal{B}}(A,B) = -rac{r}{6} |\log \eta_{AB}| \; ,$$

where A, B are two overlapping intervals with cross ratio  $0 < \eta_{AB} < 1$ ; (2) Let  $B = (a_1, a_{2\epsilon})$ ,  $C = (a_2, b_2)$ ,  $|a_{2\epsilon} - a_2| = \epsilon > 0$ . Then:

$$F_{\mathcal{B}}(B,C) = \frac{r}{6} \left( \log |a_2 - a_1| + \log |b_2 - a_2| - \log |b_2 - a_1| - \log(\epsilon) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log \mu_{\mathcal{B}} + o(\epsilon)$$
  
as  $\epsilon$  goes to 0.

(1) in the above theorem agrees with postulates of Casini and Huerta in their discussion of c theorem using relative entropies. It is interesting to note that the constant term in (2) of above Th. seems to be related to the topological entropy discussed for example by Kitaev and Preskill. al even with the right factor: in our case we have additional factor 1/2 since we are discussing chiral half of CFT.

# OUTLINE

- 1 Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 6 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 Structure of singularities in the finite index case
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBAL
   What is wrong with formal manipulations
- COMPUTATION OF LIMIT OF RELATIVE ENTROPY AND ITS I
   Basic idea from Kosaki's formula
  - The proof
- 9 More Examples

topological entanglement entropy

FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBAL DIMENSION OR TOPOLOGICAL ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

By our theorem for the free fermion net  $A_r$ , and two intervals  $A = (a_1, b_1)$ ,  $B = (a_2, b_2)$ , where  $b_1 < a_2$ , we have

$$F_{\mathcal{A}}(A,B) = \frac{-r}{6} \log \eta$$
,

where  $\eta = \frac{(b_1-a_2)(b_2-a_1)}{(b_1-a_1)(b_2-a_2)}$  is the cross ratio,  $0 < \eta < 1$ . For simplicity we denote by  $F_{\mathcal{A}_r}(\eta) = F_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$ . One checks that  $F_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A, B) = F_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A^c, B^c)$ , which is in fact equivalent to

$$F_{\mathcal{A}_r}(\eta) - F_{\mathcal{A}_r}(1-\eta) = rac{-r}{6} \log\left(rac{\eta}{1-\eta}
ight)$$

Similarly for  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_r$  with finite index, by Th. 12  $F_{\mathcal{B}}(A, B) = F_{\mathcal{B}}(A^c, B^c)$  is equivalent to

$$F_{\mathcal{B}}(\eta) - F_{\mathcal{B}}(1-\eta) = \frac{-r}{6} \log\left(\frac{\eta}{1-\eta}\right)$$

Feng Xu (UCR)

Relative Entropy in CF1

We note that  $F_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A, B) = F_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A^c, B^c)$  for the free fermion net  $\mathcal{A}_r$ . However here we show that  $F_{\mathcal{B}}(A, B) \neq F_{\mathcal{B}}(A^c, B^c)$  with  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_r$  has finite index  $[\mathcal{A}_r : \mathcal{B}] = \lambda^{-1} > 1$ . By Lemma 5  $\mu_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathcal{A}_r : \mathcal{B}]^2$ . We note that,  $S(\omega, \omega \cdot E) = F_1(\eta) = F_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) - F_{\mathcal{B}}(\eta)$  is a decreasing function of  $\eta$ , and  $0 \leq F_1(\eta) \leq F_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta)$ . So we have

$$\lim_{\eta\to 1}F_1(\eta)=0.$$

On the other hand, by Th. 13

$$\lim_{\eta\to 0} F_1(\eta) = \log[\mathcal{A}_r : \mathcal{B}] = \frac{1}{2} \log \mu_{\mathcal{B}} .$$

It follows that  $F_{\mathcal{B}}(A, B) \neq F_{\mathcal{B}}(A^c, B^c)$  due to the fact that  $\mu_{\mathcal{B}} > 1$ .

# OUTLINE

- 1 Motivation and Main Results
- 2 Entropy and relative entropy
- **3** Graded nets and subnets
- MUTUAL INFORMATION IN THE CASE OF FREE FERMIONS
- 6 Formal properties of entropy for free fermion ne
- 6 Structure of singularities in the finite index case
- FAILURE OF DUALITY IS RELATED TO NONTRIVIAL GLOBAL
   What is wrong with formal manipulations
- COMPUTATION OF LIMIT OF RELATIVE ENTROPY AND ITS I
   Basic idea from Kosaki's formula
  - The proof
- 9 More Examples

Formally one has  $F(A, B) = S(A) + S(B) - S(A \cap B) - S(A \cup B)$ , and for pure states we have  $S(A) = S(A^c)$ , and it follows that  $F(A, B) = F(A^{c}, B^{c})$ , but the results of the previous section shows that this is not true (In fact we tried very hard to prove it is true). The reason is because that our algebras are not type I, and the formula  $F(A, B) = S(A) + S(B) - S(A \cap B) - S(A \cup B)$ , is only true in the sense that  $F(A, B) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (S(A_n) + S(B_n) - S(A_n \cap B_n) - S(A_n \cup B_n))$ , where  $A_n$ is an increasing sequence of type I factors approximating our net localized on A. Even though  $S(A_n) = S(A'_n)$  for pure states, we only have  $A_n^c \subset A'_n$ , and we can't conclude that  $S(A_n) = S(A_n^c)$ , and there is no continuity that can help because both  $S(A_n)$  and  $S(A_n^c)$  go to infinity as n goes to  $\infty$ .